**JNI Submission Checklist**

**Title**

□ Does the title accurately represent the research content?

**Abstract**

□ Is the summary of the research written concisely in accordance with the submission guidelines?

**Introduction**

□ Are references cited, and are the existing findings on the research topic and limitations of previous studies described?

□ Are the significance, originality, and novelty of this study based on previous studies?

□ Are the research objectives clear and does the paper raise any questions?

**Methods**

□ Are the research design and objectives appropriately matched?

□ Is it clear how the research was conducted?

・ Are the research period and location clearly stated?

・ Are the selection criteria, exclusion criteria, and selection methods described for the subjects?

・ Is it explained how the number of subjects was determined?

・ Are the details of the survey content, data collection methods, and data analysis methods described?

□ Is the conceptual framework presented? (if necessary)

□ Are the terms defined?

**Ethical considerations**

□ Are ethical considerations mentioned?

**Results**

□ Is the number of participants indicated at each stage of the process, from selection to analysis?

□ Are the characteristics and basic attributes of the subjects appropriately described in tables or other formats?

□ Are the data presented objective and easy-to-understand manner based on statistical processing?

□ Are only the necessary results relevant to the purpose described?

□ Are the results accurately described?

□ Are there any ambiguous expressions (no mixing of discussion)?

□ Are figures and tables used appropriately?

**Discussion**

□ Are the main results related to the research purpose summarized?

□ Is the consistency between the purpose and results discussed?

□ Are the results supported by the literature, and are interpretations that do not support the results considered?

□ Are the implications of the results and the basis for the inferences clearly stated?

□ Are there any logical contradictions or leaps in reasoning based on the results?

□ Are there any points that the results do not support?

□ Are citations from the literature clearly distinguished from the author's own thoughts?

□ Are the remaining issues, limitations, and future directions of this study clearly stated?

□ Are practical implications (e.g., for nursing or education) clearly stated?

**Conclusion**

□ Is the conclusion a concise summary of the results?

□ Are there any contradictions with the results?

**Literature**

□ Are the cited references important and appropriately cited?

□ Are the URLs accessible at the time of submission?

**Research funding**

□ Are the sources of funding (e.g., research grants) described?

□ Is there disclosure of conflicts of interest (COI)?